UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/240,048 | 01/29/1999 | JOHN PATRICK AINSWORTH | 4062.54US01 | 6852 |
| 24113 7590 09/11/2008 PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A. 4800 IDS CENTER | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | ORTIZ, BELIX M | |
| 80 SOUTH 8TH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2100 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2164 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/11/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | | 09/240,048 | AINSWORTH ET AL. | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | BELIX M. ORTIZ | 2164 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Ju</u> | ılv 2008 | | | | |
| · | | action is non-final. | | | | |
| ′= | · | | | | | |
| ٠,١ | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| | | pane Quayio, 1000 0.21 1.1, 10 | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4,5 and 23-87 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4,5 and 23-87 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 🤈 | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10) | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) 🔲 | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic 3) Inforr | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other: | nte | | | |
| Pape | Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

1. In response to communications files on March 31, 2008. Claims 1, 32, 36, 41, 53, 57, and 70-87 are amended by applicant's request. Therefore, claims 1, 4-5, and 23-87 are presently pending in the application.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1, 32, 36, 41, 53, 57, and 70 are objected to as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements.

See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted element is: it is not clear that a computer is been use.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1, 4-5, 23-31, 62-65, and 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 32 the phrase "may be" render the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 09/240,048 Page 3

Art Unit: 2164

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 1, 4-5, 23-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) (Eff. Filing date of application: 1/24/1999) as being unpatentable over Mukherjee (U.S. patent 6,314,415) (Eff filing date of application: 11/4/1998) in view of Jenkins et al (U.S. patent 6,597,392) (Eff. Filing date of cont application: 10/13/1998).

As to claims 1, 41, and 70, <u>Mukherjee</u> teaches a method for dynamically generating a user interface for an application program (see abstract), comprising:

selecting and retrieving, in response to the request, at least one rule from a plurality of rules (see col. 5, lines 35-40) stored in one or more databases (see col. 5, lines 1-5), wherein the rule determines, at least in part, whether the request may be fulfilled based on one or more aspects of the user (see col. 11, lines 53-65 and col. 12, lines 3-12) and further includes at least one variable parameter representing information pertaining to a function of the user interface; determining a value of the variable parameter (see col. 12, lines 47-52 and col. 15, lines 49-53); and

executing the dynamic rule to select and retrieve data from the one or more databases based on the value (see col. 5, lines 35-45).

Mukherjee does not teach receiving a request to control at least one of a camera and a camera enabled device to obtain camera data therefrom; and generating the user interface based on the data and from the camera data.

Jenkins et al. teaches apparatus and method for computerized multi-media data organization and transmission (see abstract), in which he teaches receiving a request to control at least one of a camera and a camera enabled device to obtain camera data therefrom (see figure 1 and abstract); and generating the user interface based on the data and from the camera data (see abstract; fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 51-65).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Mukherjee by the teaching of Jenkins et al., because receiving a request to control at least one of a camera and a camera enabled device to obtain camera data therefrom, would enable the method because, the camera is coupled to a monitor that displays the image of the patient.

As to claims 4, 5, 34, 35, 38, 39, 42, 43, 55, 56, 59, 60, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches the claimed invention of rules comprising SQL (structured query language) statements as described in (see <u>Mukherjee</u>, col. 5, lines 23-25).

As to claims 23-28, 30, 31, 44-49, 51, 52, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches variable parameter representing identifier as described in (<u>Mukherjee</u>, col. 15, lines 40-55), wherein uifield is a identifier. It is inherent that identifier can be group id, user id, node id or location id.

As to claims 29, 33, 50, 54, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches the claimed invention of compound statement as described in (<u>Mukherjee</u>, col. 15, lines 30-35).

As to claims 32, <u>Mukherjee</u> teaches a method for dynamically generating a user interface for an application program (see abstract), comprising:

selecting and retrieving at least one dynamic rule from a plurality of rules (see col. 5, lines 35-40) stored in one or more databases (see col. 5, lines 1-5), wherein the plurality of rules includes at least one rule wherein the rule determines, at least in part, whether the user interface may be generated based at least in part on user identifying information and further comprising one or more variable parameters (see col. 11, lines 53-65 and col. 12, lines 3-12), each variable parameter representing information pertaining to a function of the user interface (see col. 15, lines 49-53); and

executing the dynamic rule to select and retrieve data from the one or more databases based on the value(see col. 5, lines 35-45).

Mukherjee does not teach the function comprising access to a medical device which provides medical information; and

generating the user interface based on the data and based on said medical information.

Jenkins et al. teaches apparatus and method for computerized multi-media data organization and transmission (see abstract), in which he teaches the function comprising access to a medical device which provides medical information (see fig. 3 and col. 1, lines 13-17); and

Art Unit: 2164

generating the user interface based on the data and based on said medical information (see fig. 3 and col. 1, lines 13-17).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Mukherjee by the teaching of Jenkins et al., because the function comprising access to a medical device which provides medical information; and generating the user interface based on the data and based on said medical information, would enable the method because, The camera is coupled to a monitor that displays the image of the patient.

As to claims 36 and 57, <u>Mukherjee</u> teaches a method for defining a routine for generating a user interface (see abstract), comprising:

determining a user identity (see col. 11, lines 53-65);

examining a file with medical information therein to identify one or more data elements within the medical information (see col. 15, lines 40-55);

generating one or more rules for generating a data structure in a database based on the data elements (see col. 5, lines 35-40);

executing the one or more rules to create the data structure in the database (see col. 5, lines 35-45); and

storing the data elements in the data structure (see col. 5, lines 1-5);

storing the sequence presentation in the database (see col. 7, lines 2-4).

<u>Mukherjee</u> does not teach defining a presentation which is one of a plurality of different types of presentation for displaying the data elements, the type of presentation which is defined as being based on the user identity and the medical information.

Jenkins et al. teaches apparatus and method for computerized multi-media data organization and transmission (see abstract), in which he teaches defining a presentation which is one of a plurality of different types of presentation for displaying the data elements, the type of presentation which is defined as being based on the user identity and the medical information (see figure 3 and col. 1, lines 13-18).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Mukherjee by the teaching of Jenkins et al., defining a presentation which is one of a plurality of different types of presentation for displaying the data elements, the type of presentation which is defined as being based on the user identity and the medical information, would enable the method because, make the access and examination easier to the Doctors.

As to claims 37, 40, 58, 61, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches claimed invention of displaying HTML components as described in (<u>Mukherjee</u>, col. 6, lines 55-col 7, lines 5).

As to claim 53, <u>Mukherjee</u> teaches a system for dynamically generating a user interface for an application program (abstract), the system comprising:

one or more databases for storing a plurality of rules, the plurality of rules including at least one rule comprising one or more variable parameters, each variable parameter representing information pertaining to the functionality of the user interface (see cool. 5, lines 1-5; col. 11, lines 53-65; and col. 12, lines 3-12);

one or more databases for storing a second plurality of rules, the second plurality of rules including at least one rule comprising one or more variable parameters, at least one of the variable parameters representing information pertaining to the identity of the user, the second plurality of rules governing, at least in part (see col. 11, lines 53-65; and col. 12, lines 3-12); And

a server for selecting and retrieving at least one rule from a-the first and second plurality of rules, for executing the rule rules to select and retrieve data from the one or more databases, and for generating the user interface based on the data and on said medical information (see col. 3, lines 37-49; col. 11, lines 53-65; and col. 12, lines 3-12).

<u>Mukherjee</u> does not teach the function comprising access to a medical device which provides medical information; and

access to the medical information based on the information pertaining to the identity of the user.

Jenkins et al. teaches apparatus and method for computerized multi-media data organization and transmission (see abstract), in which he teaches the function comprising access to a medical device which provides medical information (see fig. 3 and col. 1, lines 13-17); and

access to the medical information based on the information pertaining to the identity of the user (see fig. 3 and col. 1, lines 13-17) and see Mukherjee for the identity of the user (see col. 11, lines 53-65)

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Mukherjee</u> by the teaching of <u>Jenkins et al.</u>,

Art Unit: 2164

because the function comprising access to a medical device which provides medical information; and access to the medical information based on the information pertaining to the identity of the user, would enable the method because, the camera is coupled to a monitor that displays the image of the patient.

As to claims 62 and 66, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches wherein the control comprises capturing an image (see <u>Jenkins et al.</u>, figures 1 and 3).

As to claims 63 and 67, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches wherein the control comprises capturing video image (see <u>Jenkins et al.</u>, figure 3).

As to claims 64 and 68, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches wherein the control is remote (see <u>Jenkins et al.</u>, figure 3).

As to claims 65 and 69, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches wherein the user interface enables the control of the at least one of the camera and the camera enabled device if access right allow the control (see <u>Jenkins et al.</u>, figures 1 and 3).

As to claims 71 and 79, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches wherein the user interface includes a presentation that is one of a plurality of different forms (see <u>Jenkins et al.</u>, fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 31-34).

As to claim 72, Mukherjee as modified teaches a method further comprising

receiving medical data to be displayed as part of said user interface, and wherein said medical data is used to select which of said different forms are used to make said user interface (see <u>Jenkins et al.</u>, fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 45-65).

As to claim 73, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches wherein said medical data includes a diagnosis, and said diagnosis is used to select said different form (see <u>Jenkins</u> et al., col. 1, lines 13-18).

As to claim 74, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches wherein said user interface is presented in one of a plurality of different forms, said plurality of different forms defined by said data(see <u>Jenkins et al.</u>, col. 5, lines 27-35).

As to claim 75, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches a method further comprising using said medical information to select one of said different rules which selects one of said different forms (see <u>Jenkins et al.</u>, col. 9, lines 40-48).

As to claim 76, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches wherein said medical information includes a medical diagnosis, and said medical diagnoses selects said one of said different rules (see <u>Jenkins et al.</u>, fig. 2-3 and col. 1, lines 13-18).

As to claim 77, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches wherein said presentation includes a medical image, and at least one field to receive input associated with the medical image, which is presented to a receiver (see <u>Jenkins et al.</u>, fig. 3)

As to claim 78, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches wherein said medical information includes a diagnosis, and said type of presentation is based on said diagnosis (see <u>Jenkins</u> et al., fig. 3 and col. 1, lines 13-18).

As to claim 80, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches a system further comprising, on said server, a request to receive medical information (see Jenkins et al., fig. 1).

As to claim 81, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches a system further comprising medical information stored on said server, and said medical information is used to set said variable parameter which defines which presentation is used (see <u>Jenkins et al.</u>, abstract and col. 3, lines 56-67).

As to claim 82, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches wherein said server includes medical information thereon, including a medical diagnosis, and said medical diagnosis is used to select said variable parameter to produce a presentation form on the user interface (see <u>Jenkins et al.</u>, col. 3, lines 56-67).

As to claim 83, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches wherein said server uses said medical information to select said rule (see <u>Jenkins et al.</u>, fig. 1, abstract, and col. 5, lines 31-45).

As to claim 84, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches wherein said rule defines one of a plurality of different presentation forms (see <u>Jenkins et al.</u>, fig. 3 and col. 5, lines 31-34).

Art Unit: 2164

As to claim 85, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches wherein said medical information that is used to select said rule comprises a medical diagnosis (see <u>Jenkins et al.</u>, col. 1, lines 13-18).

As to claim 86, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches wherein said sequence presentation comprises at least one medical image, and information associated with said at least one medical image (see <u>Jenkins et al.</u>, fig. 3).

As to claim 87, <u>Mukherjee</u> as modified teaches wherein said medical information that is used to generate said one or more rules comprises a medical diagnosis (see <u>Jenkins et al.</u>, col. 1, lines 13-18 and col. 3, lines 56-67).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

Art Unit: 2164

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Belix M. Ortiz whose telephone number is 571-272-4081.

The examiner can normally be reached on moday-friday 9am-5pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

bmo

September 8, 2008

/Charles Rones/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2164

Page 14

Art Unit: 2164